UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,523	07/02/2003	Hisanobu Kanamaru	09637/000M888-US0	4557
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 03/15/2007 ARBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			LE, HUNG CHARLIE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	03/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/613,523	KANAMARU ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Hung C. Le	3663			

	Hung C. Le	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origr than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	saucing or simplifying	life issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			•
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			,
Claim(s) objected to			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. I The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>SEE CONTINUATION MAS</u>		in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		16	•
		ACK KOUTH	
	J	ACK KEITH BY PATENT EXAMIN	VER
	SUPERVISO	4	

Continuation of 13. Other:

- a) 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections are withdrawn.
- b) Applicant argues that "common axis" is well known in the art to be the same axis. Applicant sets forth that Jurgen's drive shaft (31) and eccentric shaft (11) are in parallel. The as claimed "about a common axis" leaves latitude in how the claim can be interpreted. The axis as claimed does not have to be a physical axis, but rather may be an imaginary axis, the imaginary axis in this case running from north to south on Fig. 1. Thus Jurgen reads on the claim language of "about a common axis".
 - It is suggested that applicant claim their true intent of the "same axis". Note that this would require a new search.
- c) The amendment of 01/08/2007 is still not entered as the scope of claim 5 has changed.